

NEWSLETTER

Issue 2
May – July 2018

INSIDE THIS

EDITION

Health in the workplace	1
Ring-fencing rental losses	2
Bright-line breach warning	3
Reimbursing allowances	3
Snippets	4
Cryptocurrency and tax	4
Commonwealth Games.....	4
Income Tax Payments.....	5
Audit Shield	5
PAYE & Payday Reporting.....	5
Tax Working Group	5
Staff News	6

Health in the workplace

How often have you found yourself vowing to improve your health or fitness? If you are anything like the majority, chances are at some point you have embarked on some fad diet or joined the latest fitness craze in an attempt to get healthier, only to find yourself succumb to the temptation of those pesky workplace morning teas or 3pm sugar cravings. However, with workplace well-being programs continuously growing in popularity, could the workplace soon provide more health-help than harm?



A recent survey by AON suggested that promoting good health and wellness should be a goal of all employers in 2018, with 96 percent of respondents recognising a connection between health and work performance. Perhaps one of the most popular trends already seeing widespread adoption is the introduction of standing desks. For office based employees, the majority of the day is spent sitting at a desk, resulting in lengthy periods of sedentary activity. Such high levels of sedentary behaviour allegedly have a major effect on a person's health, with links to both physical and mental conditions, including obesity and depression. Thus, standing desks should help ease this effect by reducing the amount of time a person is sitting down.

In addition to increasing employees' activity levels, nutrition is also a key component. It is well established that what we eat has a greater impact on our health than the amount of exercise we do. It has been estimated that we eat approximately a third of our day's food at work, meaning the workplace is an ideal place to assist employees in making healthy choices. Initiatives such as offering complimentary fruit, ensuring any food provided is as healthy as possible, and limiting the supply of alcohol, are small steps to encouraging employees to make healthier choices. For those interested in more quirky initiatives, perhaps

All information in this newsletter is to the best of the authors' knowledge true and accurate. No liability is assumed by the authors, or publishers, for any losses suffered by any person relying directly or indirectly upon this newsletter. It is recommended that clients should consult a senior representative of the firm before acting upon this information.

implementing an “on-the-hour flash walk” is something to consider. A “flash walk” has been said to generate collective positive energy, as well as provide a break from sustained periods of sitting or standing. Additional physical and psychological benefits are thought to contribute to decreased healthcare costs for companies in the long run.

Sleeping on the job has been a big no-no in the past, however studies have proven that even a 20-minute power nap can reduce stress and increase productivity. Tech giants like Google and Uber are paving the way for workplace naps, introducing in-company sleep pods and resting

rooms. For companies that are not quite sold on the idea of employees napping at work, investing in sleep awareness and education programs could be a beneficial alternative to combatting the decrease in productivity caused by sleep deprivation.

It is apparent that investing in the physical and mental health and wellbeing of employees stands to facilitate a healthy and productive workplace. With growing support and commitment towards promoting good health and wellness, expect to see some more innovative health initiatives develop in 2018.

Ring-fencing rental losses

Labour’s pre-election manifesto proposed to increase the fairness of the tax system and improve housing affordability. In the six months since the Labour-led coalition entered Parliament, we have started to see some changes filtering through. As part of the proposals aimed at house prices, Inland Revenue has recently released an Issues Paper proposing to ring-fence rental losses, with draft legislation likely to follow once Inland Revenue has considered public responses. So how would the rules work?

People derive income from multiple sources, such as salary / wages, business income, interest, dividends and rental income. It is a fundamental feature of NZ’s tax system that a person is taxed on their total income from all sources, whether a profit or loss.

This aggregation allows losses incurred from rental properties to be offset against other income, reducing a taxpayer’s total income and corresponding tax liability. The Government’s concern is that this mechanism allows property investors to take on high levels of debt to finance their property investments, giving rise to tax losses, effectively subsidising the rental portfolio through a reduced tax liability. The high-gearing offers an advantage compared to owner-occupiers because their interest cost is not tax deductible.

The proposed ring-fencing rules contained within the Issues Paper will eliminate this advantage by preventing rental losses from being offset against other income. Instead, rental losses will be ‘ring-fenced’ and offset against future rental income, or any tax incurred on the future sale of the property.

Labour originally indicated losses might be ring-fenced by individual property. Thankfully, the proposed ‘portfolio approach’ is more logical,



enabling investors to pool their profits and losses from all residential properties, including overseas properties. If enacted, the rules will apply to all rental properties irrespective of how they are held, i.e. the rules will apply to individuals, companies and trusts. The proposed rules also use the existing definition of ‘residential land’. Thus, the rules will not apply to commercial property or property subject to the mixed-use asset rules.

There is complexity in the new rules because they can impact people that don’t hold rental properties. For example, if a person has borrowed to purchase shares in a company and that company uses the funds to purchase a rental property, the interest incurred by the shareholder is normally tax deductible. In this situation, if 50% or more of the company’s asset value is derived from residential properties the company will be classified as “residential property land-rich”. Amounts paid to the shareholder (e.g. dividends) will be classified as “rental property income” and their interest expense will be classified as “rental property loan interest”. The rental interest can only be deducted against “rental property income” derived from the company, or the individual’s other rental properties, with any excess loss ring-fenced to the person.

The application of the proposed 50% asset test is currently unclear – the issues paper does not indicate whether it will be based on market value or historical cost. This will undoubtedly be addressed during the consultation period. If enacted, the proposed rules may be phased in from the start of the 2019 – 2020 income year. This will allow investors time to adjust to the new rules and provide the opportunity for taxpayers to rearrange their affairs before the rules are adopted in full.

Bright-line breach warning

The bright-line test came into force from October 2015, introducing rules that a profit derived on the sale of a residential property is subject to tax if sold within two years of purchase, albeit subject to some exceptions such as the family home. These rules have recently been revised to extend the bright-line period from two years to five.



Whilst the bright-line provisions appear relatively straightforward, there are some intricacies to the rules, so it is advisable to seek professional advice before selling a residential property. A recent High Court decision highlighted the potential consequences of failing to seek sufficient advice.

The case involved Mrs Blackburn, who personally owned a property on Waiheke Island for a number of years, before selling it to her family trust for \$2.85m on 31 March 2016, 6 months after the introduction of the bright-line test. The following year, the Trust sold the property to a third party for just over \$5m. Although Mrs Blackburn had owned the property for several years, the trust is a separate taxpayer for the purpose of the bright-line provision, hence the profit derived on sale of the property was taxable. However, the Trustees did not return the sale in their tax return and IRD later assessed them for income tax, resulting in a tax liability of approximately \$775,000.

Displeased with this outcome, the Trustees applied for a summary judgement against their accountants, seeking \$785,696.09, claiming that

if they had known a tax liability would be incurred, they would not have entered into an agreement to sell the property. Since 2013, the Trust had received regular accounting services and tax advice from their accountant. However, the Trust had engaged a

lawyer specifically in relation to the sale of the Waiheke property. The lawyer raised the concern with the Trustees that any gain on sale would be subject to income tax under the bright-line test. Hence, the Trustees should have been aware of the tax position.

However, the Trustees alleged that they also sought their accountant's "thoughts" on the proposed sale, and the accountant did not raise any concern that a tax liability would be incurred. In the absence of any concern, the Trust went ahead with the sale.

In court, the accounting firm argued that the Trust had not sought specific tax advice regarding the sale of the property. It was also asserted that the Trust had already received advice from their lawyer advising them that the sale would be captured under the bright-line test. The judge ultimately dismissed the Trustees summary judgement application on the grounds that the Trust was unable to establish beyond reasonable argument that there was a formal request for advice.

The case acts as a timely reminder that when seeking advice, the scope of services should be clearly agreed between you and your lawyer or accountant, so there is no doubt on either side.

Reimbursing allowances



On 3 April, Inland Revenue issued a draft 'Questions we've been asked' (QWBA) covering the tax treatment of allowances and

benefits paid or provided to farm workers. A key principle covering such payments centres on the tax treatment of 'reimbursing allowances' – this is relevant not just to farm workers but all employees.

Reimbursing allowances are paid to employees for expenses incurred, or likely to be incurred, in connection with their employment, e.g., vehicle mileage and tools. Section CW 17 of the Income Tax Act contains the requirements that must be met for such payments to be received tax-free

and one of the key tests is that the expense incurred must be a 'necessary expense' incurred in performing the employment duties.

Furthermore, if employees were allowed to deduct expenses incurred to derive salary or wages, the expense would need to qualify as tax deductible. For example, if an employee was instead self-employed and the expense was tax deductible because it was incurred to derive their self-employed income, the test would be met.

A self-employed person can't deduct the cost of a motor vehicle used to derive income because the expense would be capital in nature. Therefore, an employee cannot be paid a tax free reimbursement for the cost of their vehicle. However, vehicle running costs would be tax deductible to a self-employed person, and

therefore an employee can be paid a tax-free amount to cover such costs.

The draft QWBA also includes an example of depreciable farm machinery used both in the farm business and privately. In this scenario, an apportionment of the reimbursement would be required, with the business portion of the reimbursement being tax-free, whilst the private portion would be taxable to the employee and subject to PAYE.

In addition to reimbursing specific expenses, allowances can be paid tax free based on a reasonable estimate of the expenditure. The estimation should have some reasonable basis, such as historical data, industry standard, or employee survey information. The employer must also keep sufficient information about the calculation method, and review the amount periodically to ensure the estimate remains reasonable.

Snippets

Cryptocurrency and tax

Over the last decade, the use of digital or virtual currencies, known as “cryptocurrencies”, has grown dramatically in popularity. A single piece of Bitcoin is currently valued at over \$9,000 NZD. Some New Zealand retailers have already begun accepting Bitcoin as a form of payment, which has led to the Inland Revenue releasing a ‘Questions & answers’ considering the tax treatment of cryptocurrency.



For tax purposes, cryptocurrency is treated as property, which means that foreign currency gain or loss provisions do not apply. However, if a New Zealand business accepts cryptocurrency as a form of payment, the amount is treated as taxable business income based on the value of the cryptocurrency at the time it is received.

Any gain on sale of cryptocurrency is assessed by considering the original purpose for acquiring the currency. If the currency was acquired with the purpose of disposal, any proceeds made from selling the currency are taxable. IRD consider the nature of cryptocurrency means it is unlikely that a person would acquire it without the intention to sell or exchange it, meaning the majority of gains made on disposals would give rise to a tax liability.

If you invest or trade in cryptocurrencies, be sure to keep an eye out for further developments from Inland Revenue, as they intend to refine its tax treatment as more information becomes available.

Reimbursing allowances can sometimes be paid tax-free to independent contractors, for example where they receive scheduler payments. This is based on the assumption that the contractor would generally be able to deduct the expenses to which the allowance relates.

However, this raises the issue of whether the contractor is entitled to deduct the expenses as well as receive a tax-free reimbursement, effectively creating a ‘double deduction’. The draft QWBA clarifies that this is not the case; if the allowance is treated as exempt income, the contractor is denied a deduction for the attributable expense.

The tax treatment of reimbursing allowances is a ‘standard’ area of focus by Inland Revenue when reviewing a taxpayer’s affairs, hence it is worthwhile checking to make sure they are being treated correctly.

Commonwealth Games



New Zealand recently finished its most successful Commonwealth Games since 1990, generating some interesting statistics. It was our most successful games hosted outside of New Zealand, winning 46 medals, 15 of which were gold.

This was enough to see us finish 5th on the medal table, punching well above our weight. We sent our largest Commonwealth Games team ever to the Gold Coast, comprising 251 athletes competing across 18 sports. The Commonwealth consists of 53 countries, of which New Zealand is the 23rd largest based on population, thus finishing 5th on the medal table was an awesome effort.

Many people would agree that based on our size, we are one of the most successful sporting countries in the world. Statistics New Zealand announced that we finished 9th for gold medals and 14th for total medals per capita, beating Australia who finished 17th.

With 79.2% of Kiwis participating in some form of sport each week coupled with our countries competitive sporting culture, it is not surprising we perform well in global competitions. Following our athletes’ success on the Gold Coast, there is now talk of New Zealand hosting a future Commonwealth Games.

Income Tax Payments

We have noticed that some of our clients have been using incorrect tax types and years when making Terminal Tax and Provisional tax payments via internet banking. This leads us to expend considerable time to correct the errors and therefore additional charges to clients.

We only send out payment reminders for Income Tax. "Terminal Tax" and "Provisional Tax" are just alternative terms for "Income Tax". Therefore, the correct tax type is "INC", some banks show this as "Income" or "Income Tax".

Our payment reminders also show the correct tax year. We ask that care is taken to record the correct year. For example, the next major payment for many of our clients is the first instalment of 2019 Provisional Tax due by 28 August 2018. The correct year is "2019", a common error is to record it as "2018".

Audit Shield

As most of our clients will be aware we act as an agent for Audit Shield.

Audit Shield is an insurance policy that clients can purchase to cover them for legal and accountancy fees incurred (subject to limits) when the Inland Revenue Department undertake an audit. The cost of the premium relates to the size of the entity being covered. Typical premiums are in the range of \$185.00 to \$500 per annum including GST.

Whilst we have had very few audits of clients in recent years the Inland Revenue Department does undertake audits and is threatening to do so more into the future once their new computer system is fully installed.

If you are interested in considering Audit Shield would you please contact us for more information.

Disclosure – we receive a 20% commission on all premiums from our clients.

PAYE & Pay Day Reporting

As we have previously advised, for paydays from 1 April 2019 it will be compulsory for employers to advise PAYE details to the Inland Revenue Department within two working days of the date of payment of the wages. The only exception to this is for employers deducting less than \$50,000 in PAYE per annum.

Pay day reporting is available now on a voluntary basis for paydays up to 31 March 2019. If you

wish to register voluntarily you should contact the Inland Revenue Department.

If you are using a software package to prepare your wages then you should contact your software supplier for further details.

For employers without software package then the compliance may be a major inconvenience and involve significant input of time. In this case you may wish to consider purchasing a software package, either search the internet or contact us to discuss. Otherwise you will be required to input the information via myIR

Please note that the payment date for PAYE remains unchanged, being the 20th of the month following deduction.

Tax Working Group

The Tax Working Group (TWG), chaired by Sir Michael Cullen, has commenced work on its report into the tax system. Public submissions on its initial outline report closed on 30 April 2018. It is due to produce an interim report in September 2018 and its final report in February 2019.

Based on information released to date it is clear that the focus of the TWG is on tax reform by changing the tax system that has, for the last 30 years, largely focussed on the collection of taxation to fund the State to include a role in driving social policy.

In particular, the TWG has indicated that it is going to focus on "social inequality" and is highly likely to recommend some form(s) of wealth taxes (e.g. a Capital Gains Tax (CGT), a Land Tax, an inheritance tax or some combination thereof). From the latest reports the TWG seems to be favouring recommending a CGT on land and some other investments (e.g. shares).

It appears likely that the owners of land other than the family home (e.g. rental properties, baches etc.) are likely to come in for particular attention.

Latest reports suggest that the TWG will not be recommending any changes to GST, which will be a relief.

The Prime Minister, Jacinda Ardern, has previously stated that she will not bring in any of these new taxes before the next election.

We will report further as matters progress. Clients should keep themselves informed as the costs of these new taxes may be very significant for some clients, in particular those that own land.

For further information refer to the many articles available on the internet.

Staff News

We are pleased to report that Craig is recovering well from the injuries he suffered in his bicycle accident in late March. He is now back at work on a fulltime basis.

We take this opportunity to thank our clients for their patience during the last month or so and also for their many messages of support.

If you have any questions about the newsletter items, please contact us, we are here to help.